

Exchanges Between ZPC (Zee) and TW December 20th and December 23rd, 2005

*{ TW's original thoughts and questions are in **black** typeface.*

TW wrote to Zee on December 20th, 2005.

Zee responded on December 23rd, 2005.

*Zee's responses are **magenta** in colour.*

*Zee embeds a few notes within TW's writings,
these are also magenta in colour. - Jennifer }*

TW] Ok, now I'd like to extend my thoughts further to try to gain a stronger understanding of service-to-others vs service-to-self and how a Karma balance like concept may interrelate in this measure of percentage.

May I suggest, first and foremost, that you seek a better understanding rather than a stronger understanding.

Karma is the carry forward of consequences from the choices we have made. When we make choices, in terms of service to self, we organize our environment in terms of the pattern of furnishing the closed, isolated, alienated, pattern of self. As the gnostics say, self is the only sin! When we then open the domain of self to make its pattern conform to the functions and related needs of others, there is a jolting shock of calibration we encounter. It is a karmic consequence. We not only need to revise and refine the patterns within our environment, but within our very fund of being. We are having to abandon the practice of manipulating and controlling others, using control dramas I have recently discussed.

In the Ra material, it often mentions service-to-self (STS) and service-to-others (STO) as **polarities**. It was also mentioned, at the time the material was given, that many had not chosen a polarity but rather drifted between STS and STO. This implies that something we do causes us to assume a +/- sign or build an energy charge or in some other way identifies us with these polarities.

Rather than a + / - sign, there is an open or closed domain of self. That means that what we say to others about why we do what we do, what we say to ourselves about why we do what we do and why we really do what we do, must be the same thing. Motive matters immensely.

Before enlightenment, we chop wood and carry water, after enlightenment, we chop wood and carry water. What is the difference?

Exchanges Between ZPC (Zee) and TW December 20th and December 23rd, 2005

Why we chop wood and carry water! Do we do so in behalf of self or in behalf of the greater oneness, service to others, as well.

I prefer to leave the accounting of whether some thought, some feeling or some act, constitutes service to self or service to others, without any attentiveness. The more we keep track of who and what we are, the less we are. That is because we are enforcing the boundary of self. We are enforcing the boundary of self even in self denial!

You wrote above that STO is a field of connection. This suggests that something we **do** (or think or feel or imagine) creates connections or patterns of connection in this field. You also wrote that we evolve by means of an exploratory extension of the coemissive strands of other than self referencing emissions, which helps us know by connection. It seems coemissive strands of connection would be required for STO connections, because one must be desiring service and one must offer the service or free-will is not maintained in the connection that results.

From this, I wonder then if STS is also a field of connection and it seems that this field would perhaps be interpenetrated by the STO field, but operate at a different frequency (also dissonant). It seems there are also connections here based on **control** and **codependency**.

Picture strands of emission, extending upward and outward from a pole, not in a single field, but in a top to bottom, front to back, left to right group of field shapes. At the absorption pole, there is a comparator function. It differentiates between the reference field and the exploratory field. This is called the assemblage point (as per don Juan and Carlos Castenada). It provides the perception versus the sensing. Perception is sensing plus knowing. The knowing is of an ideal pattern. The ideal pattern is an elegant pattern, meaning maximum diversity from minimum inventory.

We process towards relating more, to more, more extensively and more appropriately. This is what intelligence does. This is what life does. There is a choice. Do we revise the pattern within the fund of being of the entity or do we act upon or attempt to influence the environment?

Exchanges Between ZPC (Zee) and TW December 20th and December 23rd, 2005

There are no ends. There are only means. There is an interdependent progression. There is the flow of the Tao. There is the continuous process of creation. Creation is deputized. The creator creates creators. We are those creators. The gospel of John, the first chapter, says that in the beginning was the word, the word, was God (made out of God stuff), the word was with God. All things were made through him. The term used here for word is in anglicised Greek, *logos*. The term for a spoken or a written word is *ramati* (again anglicised Greek). ie. The term for word, *logos*, and another term for word, *ramati*, do not have the same meaning. CREATION IS DEPUTISED!

The question would then be, who or what is the word, the logos. The being who provides the pattern of development for the galaxy. In the case of our galaxy, the logos has chosen to use free will. This means to further deputise the process of creation.

If you read the three books by Dr. Michael Newton, on the subject of Life Between Lives:

- 1) Journey of Souls**
- 2) Destiny of Souls**
- 3) Life Between Lives**

you will find the case history accounts of people hypnotically regressed to the theta state, who describe their life between lives. Souls are created.

New ones are created, all of the time. We are a focus personality, projected by the overself to explore not only the pre-existent world, but to explore possibilities.

Now, one of the things I've been wrestling with is how to conceive of the law of balance (more than a law, simply the way things work) that might be involved in these two polarities since it seems that based on choices we make we polarize and or we increase the charge along the STS or STO path. It's tempting to think of the law of balance as a scale with negative energy charges on once side and positive on the other. Perhaps this is a valid visualization but it troubles me that this one can give rise to the concept that the end justifies the means.

Exchanges Between ZPC (Zee) and TW December 20th and December 23rd, 2005

By this I mean operating to achieve a positive ideal or goal by using negative means to progress thinking that if one is able to pile enough positive charge on to overcome the negative charge on the other end then it will tilt the scales in the positive direction.

Balance is a matter of open flow, yielding, receiving, accepting, a matter of sincerity, genuineness, integrity, diminishing and finally eliminating the ego boundary. Neither preferring the territory of creation inside our fund of being nor the territory of creation outside the territory of our fund of being. It means being a universal functionary. It means taking refuge in the greater oneness, taking refuge in our source of being, taking refuge in our sources of inspiration and guidance, taking refuge in our spirit family.

My thought is that perhaps this scale idea is insufficient and instead it could be more like two interpenetrating spirals, one positive and one negative in polarity with each having a charge or compression and our current balance point being located at a point of some relationship between the two spirals. In this way they would operate such that increasing negative charge requires/attracts a negative discharge to balance while increasing the positive charge requires/attracts a positive discharge to balance. This seems to accord with the overall concept of reaping what we sow or balance-in-kind. This also decouples the concept of us being able to use negative means to increase positive charge. I don't know if I'm going down the right track here at all, but this end-justifies-the-means concept appears to me to be one of the greatest distortions or stumbling blocks of understanding we operate with since it is one that can be justified within a number of our religions. Being able to have a good working model of the spiritual law of balance would go a long way toward helping us display skilfulness in our choices.

How right you are about ends and means being a distortion. Zen teaches us to understand there is no destiny in a dance. There is no sound in a piece of music, which constitutes the destiny of the music. It is in the play, in the process, in the journey. It is the present point of power. It is in the melody, in the graceful motions. There is no objective destination. There is a process.

Exchanges Between ZPC (Zee) and TW December 20th and December 23rd, 2005

We biologically have only recently discovered that the nervous system, the endocrine gland system and the immune system are all parts of one integrated system, mediated by sixty or seventy peptides, with receptors on every cell of our body.

In recap, the Ra material gave 51% STO and 95% STS as measures for dimensional graduation (here we see the possibility of a highly innovative, unique, new configuration of the fund of being, requiring 95% consistency, a criteria for its virtue, which may ultimately be a pattern able to be universally distributed, with extendable gains). Now, I'm not trying to get too hung up on these percentage numbers for their own sake but this concept is helping me think through STS and STO concepts, polarity and charge/balance which is useful regardless of percentages. Perhaps since STS operates within a field of lower frequency then graduation measurement is a type of power index or something like this and Plank's constant of charge per (beat of frequency) unit time would require the negative spiral to be highly compressed to achieve a required energy threshold. Positive spiral, being of higher vibration, requires only 1% distance in ratio from negative spiral to achieve same power factor. Our choices of course determine our energy configuration and as you said, to evolve at any rate, we would want to work to relate more to more, more appropriately and more effectively, which would seem to be the Positive STO path because the connections due to frequency would be more effective and because of the bias of the system toward love/unity would also be more appropriate.

The energy parceling system of Plank's constant, the energy per beat of frequency, is only the energy parceling system for physical reality. The harmonic, higher resolution, energy parcelling system of other realities lie before us, beside us, within us.

Would you say that the STS or negative polarity would also have a field of connection concept that is similar to what has been given to describe the positive STO concept? How does isolation or the move toward separation fit in to this model?

STS can require an exploratory isolation to find out whether the pattern has some unique contribution to make to the greater oneness.

Exchanges Between ZPC (Zee) and TW December 20th and December 23rd, 2005

If it can develop and show itself to be a unique species of being, with a virtuous pattern, with an accompanying environment to provide for its ecology, then it becomes one of the possibilities made possible by means of the law of free will and self governing morality. This becomes one of the fruits of the wisdom of the law of free will. If, however, the pattern is unextendable, it has a limited trial run. Finally, it can no longer quarantine energy for its exploration. The cycles of creation call it to accountability. There are species that are so threatened, right now.

Would you care to comment on the law of balance and how we might model and understand the law of balance with regards to our choices and the concept of 'the-end-justifies-the-means'?

I have already done this in the foregoing.

Thank you for your consideration and the time you spend to write to me.

Kind Regards,

TW